

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS



Megha Middha

Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY AND THE INDIAN JUDICIARY:- EXPLORING THE CONCEPT AND ITS APPLICATION.

AUTHORED BY:- ADV. ANUPRITA KULKARNI.

I. INTRODUCTION:-

Constitutional morality stands as a foundational pillar in the edifice of democracy, serving as both a guiding principle and a moral compass for the governance of a nation. In the context of India, a country characterized by its rich tapestry of cultural diversity and historical legacies, constitutional morality assumes paramount significance. Rooted in the preamble of the Indian Constitution, which solemnly resolves to secure justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity for all citizens, constitutional morality embodies the collective conscience and values enshrined within the constitutional framework¹.

The Indian judiciary, vested with the solemn duty to uphold and protect the Constitution, plays a pivotal role in interpreting and applying constitutional principles, including constitutional morality. As guardians of the Constitution, judges are tasked with navigating the intricate web of legal texts, precedents, and societal norms to ensure that the spirit of constitutional morality permeates every aspect of governance and public life².

At its core, constitutional morality encompasses adherence to the principles of justice, fairness, equality, and the rule of law, as enshrined in the Constitution. It demands that the exercise of governmental power and the formulation of laws be guided by a commitment to upholding fundamental rights and ensuring the welfare and dignity of every individual. Moreover, constitutional morality serves as a bulwark against tyranny and arbitrariness, providing a framework for holding state actors accountable and safeguarding the rights and liberties of citizens.

In recent years, the concept of constitutional morality has assumed renewed significance in India,

¹ Preamble, Constitution of India

² KAUSHAL KISHOR versus STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ORS., 2017 LawSuit (SC) 1036

as the judiciary grapples with complex legal and moral questions in the face of evolving societal challenges. Landmark judgments on issues ranging from privacy rights to LGBTQ+ rights have underscored the judiciary's commitment to upholding constitutional morality as a touchstone for decision-making³.

However, the application of constitutional morality is not without its challenges and controversies. Debates over judicial activism, judicial restraint, and the limits of judicial review continue to animate legal discourse, raising questions about the appropriate role of the judiciary in shaping public policy and social change.

Against this backdrop, this research article seeks to delve deeper into the concept of constitutional morality and its application by the Indian judiciary. By examining key legal principles, landmark judgments, and contemporary debates, this study aims to shed light on the evolving role of constitutional morality in Indian jurisprudence and its implications for democratic governance and the rule of law.

II. UNDERSTANDING CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY:-

a) Definition and Evolution of Concept:-

Constitutional morality can be defined as adherence to the principles and values enshrined within a constitution, with a focus on justice, equality, liberty, and the rule of law. It embodies the collective conscience of a society and serves as a guiding principle for governance, ensuring that governmental actions and laws are in consonance with constitutional principles.

The concept of constitutional morality has evolved over time, influenced by historical, philosophical, and legal developments. In the Indian context, constitutional morality finds its roots in the preamble of the Constitution, which reflects the aspirations of the founding fathers to create a just and egalitarian society⁴. Throughout India's constitutional history, the judiciary has played a crucial role in interpreting and applying constitutional morality, often through landmark judgments that have expanded the scope of fundamental rights and challenged entrenched social norms. Over the years, constitutional morality has emerged as a dynamic and evolving concept, shaped by changing societal values, legal interpretations, and judicial pronouncements, reflecting

³ G.B. Reddy and Baglekar Akash Kumar's, Transgenders and the Law A commentary, XIV,(1ST ed., 2022)

⁴ Preamble, Constitution of India

the ongoing quest for justice, equality, and dignity for all citizens.

b) Relationship Between Constitutional Morality and the Rule of law:-

The relationship between constitutional morality and the rule of law is intricate and symbiotic, with each concept reinforcing and complementing the other in a democratic society⁵.

At its core, constitutional morality ensures that the exercise of governmental power and the formulation of laws are guided by adherence to the principles and values enshrined within the constitution. This includes upholding fundamental rights, ensuring equality before the law, and fostering a system of justice that is fair and impartial. Constitutional morality acts as a check on arbitrary exercise of power by state actors and serves as a safeguard against governmental actions that may infringe upon individual liberties or undermine the rule of law.

Similarly, the rule of law embodies the principle that all individuals, including government officials, are bound by and accountable to the law. It ensures that laws are applied consistently and predictably, without favoritism or discrimination. The rule of law requires adherence to legal procedures and safeguards, ensuring due process and protection of rights for all citizens.

Constitutional morality and the rule of law are mutually reinforcing in several ways:

1. Legitimacy of Laws:-

Laws enacted in accordance with constitutional principles and morality are seen as legitimate and binding. This enhances respect for the legal system and promotes compliance with laws among citizens⁶.

2. Judicial Review:

Constitutional morality provides a framework for judicial review, allowing courts to strike down laws or governmental actions that violate constitutional values⁷. This reinforces the rule of law by ensuring that governmental powers are exercised within constitutional limits.

3. Protection of Rights:

⁵ C.K. Takwani's, Lectures on Administrative Law, 20, (6th ed.,2021).

⁶ Article 13, Constitution of India

⁷ ADM Jabalpur v. Shivkant Shukla AIR 1976 SC 1207.

Both constitutional morality and the rule of law prioritize the protection of individual rights and liberties. They provide mechanisms for individuals to seek redressal for grievances and challenge governmental actions that infringe upon their rights.

4. Accountability:

Constitutional morality promotes accountability among government officials by holding them accountable for actions that contravene constitutional principles. This fosters transparency, integrity, and accountability within the government, reinforcing the rule of law.

Constitutional morality and the rule of law are intertwined concepts that underpin the functioning of a democratic society. Together, they contribute to the establishment of a legal framework that upholds justice, equality, and the rights of all individuals, ensuring that governance is conducted in accordance with constitutional principles and values.

c) **Constitutional Provisions and principles underlying Constitutional Morality in India:-**

Constitutional morality in India is underpinned by various constitutional provisions and principles that are fundamental to the functioning of the Indian democratic system. Here are some key provisions and principles:

1. Preamble of the Constitution:

The Preamble serves as the guiding light for the interpretation and application of the Constitution. It declares India to be a sovereign, socialist, secular, and democratic republic committed to securing justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity for all citizens. These values encapsulated in the Preamble lay the foundation for constitutional morality.

2. Fundamental Rights (Part III):

Part III of the Constitution guarantees fundamental rights to all citizens, including the right to equality, right to freedom, right against exploitation, right to freedom of religion, cultural and educational rights, and right to constitutional remedies. These rights are essential for upholding individual dignity, liberty, and equality, thus forming the core of constitutional morality.

3. Directive Principles of State Policy (Part IV):

Part IV of the Constitution contains Directive Principles of State Policy (DPSP) which provide guidelines for the government to promote the welfare of the people by securing social, economic, and political justice. While not legally enforceable, these principles are fundamental in the governance of the country and serve as a moral compass for legislative and executive actions.

4. Judicial Review (Article 13):

Article 13 declares that any law inconsistent with or in derogation of fundamental rights shall be void. This provision empowers the judiciary to review and strike down laws that violate constitutional principles, including those related to constitutional morality.

5. Equality before Law (Article 14):

Article 14 ensures equality before the law and equal protection of laws to all persons within the territory of India. It prohibits discrimination on grounds of religion, race, caste, sex, or place of birth, thereby promoting the principle of equality, a cornerstone of constitutional morality.

6. Rule of Law:

While not explicitly mentioned in the Constitution, the principle of the rule of law pervades its fabric. It ensures that the government is subject to the law, no one is above the law, and laws are applied uniformly to all individuals. The rule of law is essential for upholding constitutional morality by providing a framework for governance based on legal principles and accountability.

7. Independence of Judiciary (Articles 124-147):

The Constitution provides for an independent judiciary as a guardian of the Constitution and the protector of fundamental rights. The judiciary's independence is crucial for upholding constitutional morality by ensuring impartial adjudication and enforcement of constitutional principles.

These constitutional provisions and principles collectively form the bedrock of constitutional morality in India, guiding the interpretation, application, and enforcement of laws and governance in accordance with constitutional values and principles.

III.ROLE OF INDIA JUDICIARY:-

a) Historical Perspective :- Landmark Judgment shaping constitutional morality:-

Several landmark judgments in India have played a pivotal role in shaping and defining the concept of constitutional morality. Here are a few notable examples:

1. *Keshavananda Bharati v. State of Kerala* (1973)⁸:

This case marked a watershed moment in Indian constitutional history. The Supreme Court, through its interpretation of the Constitution's basic structure doctrine, asserted the supremacy of certain fundamental principles and values inherent in the Constitution. The judgment affirmed that the Parliament's amending power under Article 368 is not unlimited and cannot alter the basic structure of the Constitution. By doing so, the Court reinforced the idea that the Constitution is not merely a legal document but a living instrument embodying core values such as democracy, rule of law, and judicial independence.

2. *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* (1978)⁹:

In this case, the Supreme Court expanded the scope of personal liberty guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution. The Court held that the right to life enshrined in Article 21 is not limited to mere physical existence but encompasses a broader spectrum of rights, including the right to travel abroad. This judgment marked a significant departure from earlier interpretations and underscored the judiciary's role in safeguarding individual freedoms and liberties against executive actions.

3. *Indira Nehru Gandhi v. Raj Narain* (1975)¹⁰:

In this case, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the principle of judicial review and the supremacy of the Constitution over parliamentary sovereignty. The Court invalidated the election of then-Prime Minister Indira Gandhi on grounds of electoral malpractice, thereby upholding the rule of law and constitutional principles even in the face of political power.

4. *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India* (2018)¹¹:

This landmark judgment decriminalized consensual homosexual acts between adults, striking down Section 377 of the Indian Penal Code. The Supreme Court recognized the right to equality and personal autonomy as essential components of constitutional morality. The judgment

⁸ *Kesavanand Bharti v. State of Kerala*, AIR 1973 SC 1461, 1480

⁹ *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* AIR1978 SC 597.

¹⁰ *Indira Nehru Gandhi v. Raj Narain* 1975 AIR 865

¹¹ *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India* 2018 INSC 790

affirmed that discriminatory laws based on sexual orientation are unconstitutional and violative of fundamental rights.

5. Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India (2017)¹²:

Commonly known as the Aadhaar judgment, this case dealt with the constitutionality of the Aadhaar biometric identification scheme. The Supreme Court held that the right to privacy is a fundamental right intrinsic to Article 21 and forms an integral part of constitutional morality. The judgment affirmed the importance of protecting individual privacy rights against encroachment by the state.

6. S. R. Bommai v. Union of India (1994)¹³:

This case addressed the issue of misuse of Article 356 of the Constitution, which allows for the imposition of President's Rule in states. The Supreme Court held that the power to dissolve state governments should be exercised cautiously and only in exceptional circumstances, emphasizing the principles of federalism and democratic governance. The judgment underscored the importance of constitutional morality in preventing executive overreach and preserving the integrity of federalism in India.

7. Shah Bano v. Union of India (1985)¹⁴:

In this case, the Supreme Court addressed the issue of Muslim women's rights to maintenance after divorce under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The Court upheld the principle of gender equality enshrined in Article 14 of the Constitution, ruling that Muslim women are entitled to maintenance like women from other communities. The judgment highlighted the judiciary's commitment to upholding constitutional values of equality and justice, even in matters of personal laws.

8. M. Nagaraj v. Union of India (2006)¹⁵:

This case dealt with the issue of reservations in promotions for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in public employment. The Supreme Court upheld the validity of reservations in promotions, subject to certain conditions, affirming the principle of social justice enshrined in

¹² Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) v. Union of India, (2017) 10 SCC 1.

¹³ S.R. Bommai v. Union of India, 1994 AIR 1918

¹⁴ Shah Bano v. Union of India, 1985 AIR 945

¹⁵ M. Nagaraj v. Union of India, (2006) 8 SSC 212

Article 16(4) of the Constitution. The judgment underscored the judiciary's role in promoting affirmative action policies to address historical injustices and ensure equality of opportunity for marginalized communities.

9. *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* (1997)¹⁶:

In this case, the Supreme Court addressed the issue of sexual harassment of women in the workplace and laid down guidelines to prevent and redress such harassment. The Court invoked the principles of equality, dignity, and the right to work with dignity, enshrined in Articles 14, 15, and 21 of the Constitution, to establish the employer's obligation to provide a safe and harassment-free work environment for women. The judgment highlighted the judiciary's proactive role in protecting fundamental rights and promoting gender justice.

10. *Sikh Gurdwara Prabandhak Committee, Amritsar v. Shri Som Nath Dass* (2000)¹⁷:

In this case, the Supreme Court addressed the issue of autonomy and management of religious institutions. The Court held that the principle of autonomy of religious denominations is subject to the principles of equality, non-discrimination, and public order. The judgment reaffirmed the importance of balancing religious freedoms with constitutional values such as equality and non-discrimination, thus upholding constitutional morality in matters of religious governance.

These landmark judgments illustrate the judiciary's role in interpreting and applying constitutional morality to uphold core constitutional values such as democracy, rule of law, fundamental rights, and individual liberties. They have contributed significantly to the evolution of constitutional jurisprudence in India and have set important precedents for the protection and promotion of constitutional morality in the country.

b) Judicial Activism v. Judicial restraint in promoting constitutional morality:-

The concepts of judicial activism and judicial restraint represent contrasting approaches adopted by the judiciary in interpreting and applying constitutional principles to promote constitutional morality in India. Judicial activism involves an assertive role by the judiciary in addressing social, political, and economic issues, often through expansive interpretations of constitutional provisions to advance progressive goals. In contrast, judicial restraint entails a more deferential

¹⁶ *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan*, 1997 AIR SCWC 3043

¹⁷ *Sikh Gurdwara Prabandhak Committee, Amritsar v. Shri Som Nath Dass*, AIR2000 SC 1421

approach, with the judiciary exercising caution and refraining from excessive interference in matters best left to the legislature or executive.

Judicial activism, exemplified by landmark judgments such as *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* and *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India*, has been instrumental in advancing constitutional morality by addressing pressing social injustices and upholding fundamental rights. Through proactive interventions, the judiciary has expanded the scope of constitutional rights, protected marginalized communities, and promoted inclusive development, thus fostering a culture of rights-based governance and social transformation.

On the other hand, judicial restraint, as evidenced in cases like *S. R. Bommai v. Union of India* and *M. Nagaraj v. Union of India*, reflects a commitment to preserving institutional balance and respecting the separation of powers. While upholding constitutional principles, the judiciary exercises restraint in deferring to legislative judgment and allowing democratic processes to unfold without undue interference. By exercising self-restraint, the judiciary ensures the legitimacy and effectiveness of its interventions, thereby reinforcing the rule of law and constitutional morality.

In promoting constitutional morality, both judicial activism and judicial restraint play complementary roles, each suited to different contexts and circumstances. While judicial activism responds to pressing societal needs and advances progressive values, judicial restraint safeguards institutional integrity and democratic governance. Ultimately, the synergy between judicial activism and judicial restraint enables the judiciary to navigate complex legal and moral issues, uphold constitutional values, and promote the enduring principles of justice, equality, and liberty enshrined in the Indian Constitution.

IV. CHALLENGES AND CONTROVERSIES:-

a) Conflict between Constitutional Morality and Societal Norms:-

The conflict between constitutional morality and societal norms represents a fundamental tension in the Indian legal landscape, reflecting the challenge of reconciling traditional beliefs and practices with the principles of justice, equality, and individual rights enshrined in the Constitution. Societal norms, rooted in cultural traditions, religious beliefs, and customary practices, often diverge from constitutional values, leading to conflicts between legal mandates

and societal expectations.

In India, this conflict is evident in various spheres, including gender equality, caste discrimination, and LGBTQ+ rights¹⁸. For instance, practices such as child marriage, dowry, and untouchability, which are deeply ingrained in certain communities, perpetuate systemic inequalities and violate constitutional guarantees of dignity and equality. Similarly, societal stigmas surrounding issues such as menstruation, mental health, and disability hinder the realization of fundamental rights and impede progress towards a more inclusive and egalitarian society.

The judiciary, as the guardian of the Constitution, plays a crucial role in addressing this conflict by interpreting and applying constitutional principles to challenge regressive societal norms. Through landmark judgments such as *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan*, which addressed sexual harassment in the workplace, and *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India*, which decriminalized consensual homosexual acts, the judiciary has intervened to protect individual liberties and promote social justice, even in the face of societal resistance.

However, navigating the conflict between constitutional morality and societal norms requires a delicate balance, as excessive judicial activism may provoke backlash and undermine social cohesion. Moreover, entrenched societal attitudes and power structures often pose formidable obstacles to legal reform, necessitating sustained efforts at both the grassroots and institutional levels to effect meaningful change.

In conclusion, the conflict between constitutional morality and societal norms reflects the complex interplay between legal principles and cultural traditions in India. While the judiciary plays a critical role in upholding constitutional values, resolving this conflict requires broader societal engagement and transformative change to ensure that the principles of justice, equality, and dignity are fully realized for all individuals, regardless of societal norms or cultural practices.

b) Criticism and debates surrounding the concept of the Constitutional Morality:-

The concept of constitutional morality in India has sparked debates and criticisms, reflecting diverse perspectives on its interpretation, application, and implications for democratic

¹⁸ G.B. Reddy and Baglekar Akash Kumar's, *Transgenders and the Law A commentary*, XV,(1ST ed., 2022)

governance. One criticism revolves around the perceived ambiguity and subjectivity of the concept, which lacks a precise definition and may be susceptible to judicial discretion. Critics argue that the fluidity of constitutional morality allows judges to impose their personal values and preferences, potentially undermining democratic principles and the rule of law. Moreover, there is concern that an overreliance on constitutional morality may lead to judicial activism, with courts usurping the role of the legislature and encroaching on policymaking domains best left to elected representatives. Additionally, some critics question the efficacy of constitutional morality in addressing entrenched social inequalities and injustices, arguing that legal reforms alone may not suffice to bring about substantive change without complementary societal transformations. Furthermore, debates persist over the appropriate balance between individual rights and collective welfare, as well as the scope of judicial intervention in matters of public morality and social policy. Despite these criticisms and debates, the concept of constitutional morality continues to evolve, shaped by judicial pronouncements, academic discourse, and societal developments, reflecting the ongoing quest for justice, equality, and dignity in Indian society.

c) Instances of Judicial Over-reach or under-reach in the name of Constitutional Morality:-

Instances of judicial overreach or under-reach in the name of constitutional morality have sparked considerable debate and scrutiny in India, raising questions about the proper limits of judicial intervention and the judiciary's role in shaping public policy.

One notable example of judicial overreach occurred in the case of *S. R. Bommai v. Union of India* (1994), where the Supreme Court invalidated the imposition of President's Rule in several states, asserting its authority to review the exercise of executive power. While the judgment upheld constitutional principles of federalism and democratic governance, critics argued that the Court's intervention amounted to encroachment into the executive domain and undermined the principle of parliamentary sovereignty. Similarly, in *Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan* (1997), the Supreme Court laid down guidelines to address sexual harassment in the workplace, filling a legislative vacuum but also prompting criticism for judicial activism beyond the traditional bounds of adjudication.

Conversely, instances of judicial under-reach, where the judiciary has refrained from intervening in matters of constitutional significance, have also drawn scrutiny. For example, in the case of

Shayara Bano v. Union of India (2017)¹⁹, challenging the practice of triple talaq (instant divorce) among Muslims, the Supreme Court struck down the practice as unconstitutional, citing violations of gender equality and dignity. However, critics argue that the Court's decision failed to address broader issues of personal laws and religious freedoms, reflecting a reluctance to delve into sensitive religious matters.

These instances illustrate the delicate balance that the judiciary must strike in exercising judicial review and upholding constitutional morality. While judicial intervention is essential for safeguarding constitutional values and protecting fundamental rights, it must be tempered with restraint and circumspection to avoid overstepping constitutional boundaries and undermining democratic principles. Similarly, judicial under-reach risks perpetuating injustices and systemic inequalities, highlighting the need for a nuanced approach to judicial decision-making that balances legal principles with respect for institutional roles and societal norms. Ultimately, the challenge lies in ensuring that judicial activism serves as a bulwark for constitutional democracy while respecting the democratic mandate and fostering institutional legitimacy.

V. STRATEGIES FOR ENHANCING AWARENESS AND UNDERSTANDING OF CONSTITUTIONAL MORALITY:-

Enhancing awareness and understanding of constitutional morality is crucial for fostering a culture of constitutionalism and promoting democratic governance in India. Several strategies can be employed to achieve this goal:

Firstly, educational reforms are essential to integrate constitutional studies into school and college curricula. By incorporating constitutional principles, case studies, and debates into educational programs, students can develop a deeper understanding of constitutional morality from an early age. This approach not only cultivates civic responsibility but also instills a sense of appreciation for democratic values and institutions.

Secondly, public outreach initiatives, such as workshops, seminars, and public lectures, can be organized to engage citizens in discussions on constitutional morality. These forums provide opportunities for dialogue, debate, and exchange of ideas, thereby promoting greater awareness and understanding of constitutional principles among diverse segments of society. Collaboration with civil society organizations, academic institutions, and legal experts can enrich these

¹⁹ Shayara Bano v. Union of India, AIR 2017 9 SCC 1 (SC)

initiatives and reach a wider audience.

Thirdly, leveraging digital platforms and social media can amplify efforts to disseminate information and resources on constitutional morality. Websites, podcasts, and social media campaigns can be utilized to share educational materials, case studies, and interactive content, making constitutional concepts accessible and engaging for a broader audience. Additionally, online forums and discussion groups can facilitate ongoing dialogue and knowledge-sharing on constitutional issues.

Furthermore, legal literacy programs targeted at vulnerable and marginalized communities can empower individuals to assert their rights and hold authorities accountable. By providing information on constitutional rights, legal procedures, and avenues for redressal, these programs enable citizens to navigate the legal system effectively and advocate for social justice.

Lastly, fostering a culture of transparency, accountability, and ethical leadership within government institutions is essential for upholding constitutional morality. By promoting integrity, adherence to the rule of law, and respect for human rights, policymakers and public officials can set positive examples and build public trust in democratic institutions.

Overall, a multifaceted approach that combines educational initiatives, public outreach, digital advocacy, legal empowerment, and ethical leadership is essential for enhancing awareness and understanding of constitutional morality. By engaging citizens, empowering communities, and strengthening democratic institutions, we can uphold the principles of justice, equality, and dignity enshrined in the Indian Constitution.

VI.ROLE OF LEGAL EDUCATION AND JUDICIAL TRAINING IN PROMOTING CONSTITUTIONAL VALUES:-

Legal education and judicial training play a pivotal role in promoting constitutional values and fostering a culture of constitutionalism among legal practitioners and judicial officers. Through rigorous academic curricula and specialized training programs, legal education institutions and judicial academies equip aspiring lawyers, judges, and legal professionals with the knowledge, skills, and ethical framework necessary to uphold the principles enshrined in the Constitution.

Firstly, legal education provides a solid foundation for understanding constitutional law and jurisprudence. Law schools and universities offer courses on constitutional law, human rights, and legal theory, exposing students to key constitutional principles, landmark judgments, and

debates surrounding constitutional interpretation. By delving into case studies and engaging in critical analysis, students develop a nuanced understanding of constitutional values such as justice, equality, liberty, and the rule of law, which form the bedrock of the legal profession.

Secondly, judicial training programs play a crucial role in enhancing the competencies and ethical conduct of judges and judicial officers. Judicial academies offer specialized courses on constitutional law, judicial ethics, and decision-making, providing judges with the requisite knowledge and skills to adjudicate complex constitutional issues effectively. Through interactive workshops, case simulations, and peer learning platforms, judicial training programs facilitate discussions on constitutional dilemmas, judicial reasoning, and the application of constitutional principles in diverse contexts.

Moreover, legal education and judicial training programs emphasize the importance of upholding judicial independence, impartiality, and integrity, which are essential for ensuring the credibility and legitimacy of the judiciary. By instilling a commitment to ethical conduct and professional excellence, these programs cultivate a culture of accountability and transparency within the legal profession, thereby strengthening democratic institutions and upholding public trust in the judiciary.

Furthermore, legal education and judicial training foster a sense of responsibility among legal practitioners and judicial officers to promote access to justice, protect fundamental rights, and advance social justice objectives. By nurturing a cadre of competent and conscientious legal professionals, these programs contribute to the realization of constitutional values in practice and the consolidation of constitutional democracy in India.

In conclusion, legal education and judicial training are indispensable tools for promoting constitutional values and nurturing a cadre of ethical and competent legal professionals and judicial officers. By imparting knowledge, fostering critical thinking, and nurturing ethical conduct, these programs contribute to the advancement of constitutionalism, the protection of fundamental rights, and the strengthening of democratic governance in India.

VII.CONCLUSION:-

The exploration of constitutional morality and its application by the Indian judiciary reveals the

intricate interplay between legal principles, societal norms, and democratic governance. Through landmark judgments and jurisprudential evolution, the judiciary has endeavored to uphold the foundational values enshrined in the Constitution, including justice, equality, liberty, and the rule of law. However, challenges such as judicial overreach, societal resistance, and institutional constraints persist, highlighting the complexity of promoting constitutional morality in a diverse and dynamic society like India. Moving forward, concerted efforts are needed to enhance awareness, foster dialogue, and strengthen democratic institutions to advance constitutional values and ensure their meaningful realization for all citizens. By promoting legal education, judicial training, public engagement, and ethical leadership, India can cultivate a culture of constitutionalism, uphold the principles of justice and equality, and forge a path towards a more inclusive and democratic society. Ultimately, the pursuit of constitutional morality remains an ongoing endeavor, reflecting the enduring commitment to building a nation founded on the principles of justice, liberty, and fraternity as envisioned by the framers of the Indian Constitution.

